## UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

| In re: PHARMACEUTICAL INDUSTRY ) AVERAGE WHOLESALE PRICE LITIGATION ) | MDL No. 1456<br>Civil Action No. 01-CV-12257-PBS<br>Subcategory Case. No. 03-10643-PBS |
|-----------------------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| THIS DOCUMENT RELATES TO:                                             | Hon. Patti B. Saris                                                                    |
| The City of New York, et al.,                                         |                                                                                        |
| Plaintiffs, )                                                         |                                                                                        |
| ν.                                                                    |                                                                                        |
| Abbott Laboratories, Inc., et al.,                                    |                                                                                        |
| Defendants. )                                                         |                                                                                        |

## DEY DEFENDANTS' MOTION TO AMEND THE COURT'S FEBRUARY 9, 2010 MEMORANDUM AND ORDER

Defendants Dey, Inc. and Dey Pharma, L.P., formerly known as Dey, L.P. (collectively "Dey"), by their undersigned attorneys, move the Court to amend its January 27, 2010 Memorandum and Order, as amended on February 9, 2010, (Docket No. 6905) (the "FUL Decision"), to eliminate any references to Dey, as it did for Ethex and Boehringer, on the grounds that Dey had reached a settlement with the plaintiffs in this action, certain counties in New York State, prior to the entry of that order. Dey incorporates herein the accompanying memorandum of law. For the Court's convenience, annexed hereto as Exhibit A is a proposed order setting forth the appropriate revisions. Plaintiffs have advised us that they do not object to the request as set forth in the proposed order Notably, the proposed order makes clear that the relief requested would not affect the effective date of this Court's original January 27, 2010 order

or reset any deadlines associated therewith, including deadlines associated with any party's right to appeal or otherwise seek relief therefrom.

WHEREFORE, for the reasons set forth above and as more fully set forth in the accompanying memorandum of law, Dey respectfully requests that the Court amend the FUL Decision to eliminate any references to Dey.

Dated: April 7, 2010

Respectfully submitted,

KELLEY DRYE & WARREN LLP

/s/ Philip D. Robben By:

> Paul F. Doyle (BBO # 133460) Sarah L. Reid (admitted pro hac vice) William A. Escobar (admitted pro hac vice)

Neil Merkl (admitted pro hac vice)

Philip D. Robben (admitted pro hac vice)

101 Park Avenue

New York, NY 10178

(212) 808-7800 (telephone)

Attorneys for Defendants Dey, L.P. and Dey, Inc.

## CERTIFICATE OF COMPLIANCE WITH LOCAL RULE 7.1(a)(2)

I, Philip D. Robben, certify that I have conferred with Joanne Cicala, counsel for Plaintiffs in this action and have attempted in good faith to resolve or narrow the issues of the within motion. I further certify that Plaintiffs have advised us that they do not object to this request.

/s/ Philip D. Robben
Philip D. Robben

## **CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE**

I certify that a true and correct copy of the foregoing was delivered to all counsel of record by electronic service pursuant to Paragraph 11 of Case Management Order No. 2, by sending on April 7, 2010, a copy to LexisNexis File and Serve for posting and notification to all parties.

By: /s/ Philip D. Robben
Philip D. Robben